arXiv:1412.2949v2 [math.GN] 15 Mar 2015

On the Borel Complexity of Characterized Subgroups *

Dikran Dikranjan† and Daniele Impieri

March 17, 2015

Abstract

In a compact abelian group X, a subgroup H is called characterized if there exists a sequence of characters $\mathbf{v} = (v_n)$ of X such that $H = \{x \in X : v_n(x) \to 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{T}\}$. Gabriyelyan proved for $X = \mathbb{T}$, that the characterized subgroup $\{x \in \mathbb{T} : n!x \to 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{T}\}$ is not an F_σ-set. In this paper, we obtain a complete description of the F_σ-subgroups of $\mathbb{T}$ characterized by sequences of integers $\mathbf{v} = (v_n)$ such that $v_n | v_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ showing that these are exactly the countable subgroups of $\mathbb{T}$. Moreover, in the general setting of compact metrizable abelian groups, we give a new point of view to study the Borel complexity of characterized subgroups in terms of appropriate test-topologies defined on the group X.

We denote by $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ the additive circle group and by $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{T}$ is the canonical homomorphism; furthermore, we identify an element $x \in \mathbb{T}$ with its unique pre-image in $[0, 1)$ under φ. We use $\| \ \|$ for the standard norm on the torus; namely, if $x \in \mathbb{T}$, then $\|x\| = x$ if $x < \frac{1}{2}$, otherwise $\|x\| = 1 - x$. The metric generated by $\| \ \|$ will be denoted by d.

For an abelian group G we denote by $t(G)$ the torsion subgroup of G. The closure of a subset M of a topological space will be denoted by $\overline{M}$.

For a topological abelian group X a *character* of X is a continuous homomorphism $\chi : X \to \mathbb{T}$. Denote by $\widehat{X}$ the group of all characters of X, that is the Pontryagin dual of X.

1 Introduction

1.1 Some History and Basic Definitions

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathbf{u} = (u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of integers, X be a compact abelian group and $\mathbf{v} = (v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of characters of X. The following subsets of X are subgroups of X:

$$\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(X) = \{x \in X : u_n x \to 0 \text{ in } X\} \text{ and } \mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X) := \{x \in X : v_n(x) \to 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{T}\}.$$

Since $\widehat{\mathbb{T}} = \mathbb{Z}$, the two notions defined above coincide in the case of $X = \mathbb{T}$, i.e $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}) = \mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbb{T})$ where for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, v_n : x \mapsto u_n x$.

Definition 1.2. ([DMT]) Let X be a compact abelian group. A subgroup H of X is called *characterized* if there exists a sequence of characters $\mathbf{v}$ such that $H = \mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$. We also say that $\mathbf{v}$ *characterizes* H.

Let $\mathfrak{Char}(X)$ be the family of all characterized subgroups of X. The assignment $\widehat{X}^{\mathbb{N}} \ni \mathbf{u} \mapsto \mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{u}}(X) \in \mathfrak{Char}(X)$ gives rise to a relevant equivalence relation $\sim$ in $\widehat{X}^{\mathbb{N}}$ defined by $\mathbf{u} \sim \mathbf{v}$ when $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{u}}(X) = \mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$.

Since $\widehat{\mathbb{T}} = \mathbb{Z}$, $H \leq \mathbb{T}$ is characterized if and only if there exists $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $H = \mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$. The sequence (q_n) of ratios of $\mathbf{u}$ is defined by $q_n = \frac{u_n}{u_{n-1}}$ for $n > 0$ and $q_0 = u_0$. If $\mathbf{u}$ does not have any

*MSC: 22C05, 43A46, 54H05.

Keywords: characterized subgroup, compact group, circle group, sequence of characters, sequence of integers, Borel hierarchy, Polishable Subgroup

†The first named author gratefully acknowledges the FY2013 Long-term visitor grant L13710 by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

constant subsequences there exists a strictly increasing sequence of non-negative integers $\mathbf{u}^* \sim \mathbf{u}$ (see [B–W1, Proposition 2.5]).

The subgroup $\tau_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{R}) := \varphi^{-1}(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}))$ can be conveniently used to study $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$. This kind of subgroups of $\mathbb{R}$ and their subsets were studied much earlier, going back to Arbault [Arb] in relation to trigonometric series and then by Borel [Bo1, Bo2]. Some steps toward this direction can be found in the past in [Egg], where following theorem can be found:

Theorem 1.3 (Eggleston)**.** *Let $\mathbf{u} = (u_n)$ be a sequence of integers.*

- *If the sequence of ratios (q_n) is bounded, then $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ is countable.*
- *If the sequence of ratios (q_n) converges to infinity, then $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ is uncountable.*

The subgroup $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(X)$ appeared also as a generalization of *torsion subgroup* and *p-torsion subgroup* of an abelian group for a prime number p. Armacost in [Arm], using different names, introduced for a topological abelian group the notions of *topologically torsion subgroup* that is the subgroup of all elements x such that $n!x \to 0$ and *topologically p-torsion subgroup* that is the subgroup of all elements x such that $p^n x \to 0$. Armacost [Arm] gave a description of $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ for $\mathbf{u} = (p^n)$ for a prime p and posed the problem to describe $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ for $\mathbf{u} = (n!)$. These two notions were unified in [DPS] by a special class of sequences of integers that will play an important role for this paper as well:

Definition 1.4. A strictly increasing sequence of integers $\mathbf{u} = (u_n)$ is called *arithmetic* (or briefly, an *a-sequence*) if $u_n | u_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $X = \mathbb{T}$, let $\mathfrak{a}\text{-}\mathfrak{Char}(\mathbb{T})$ be the class of all subgroups of $\mathbb{T}$ that are characterized by a-sequences.

The first results on $\mathfrak{a}\text{-}\mathfrak{Char}(\mathbb{T})$ can be found already in [DPS, §4.4.2], providing, among others a partial answer to Armacost problem. A complete solution of Armacost problem was given in [DdS], along with an attempt to describe $\mathfrak{a}\text{-}\mathfrak{Char}(\mathbb{T})$. The gap left in [DdS] was filled in [DI1], where a complete description of $\mathfrak{a}\text{-}\mathfrak{Char}(\mathbb{T})$ can be found in (see §3.2).

Some relevant results related to $\mathfrak{Char}(\mathbb{T})$ in the setting of number theory were obtained in [Bi, BDS]. The notion of a characterized subgroup was generalized, from the case of subgroups of $\mathbb{T}$ to the general case of topological abelian groups in [DMT]. For more recent contributions to this field see [DK, DG, DGT, B–W1, B–W2, DI3, G1, G2, G3, G4, N].

1.2 About Borel Complexity of Characterized Subgroups

The following general problem has been studied in [DG].

Problem 1.5. *Study the Borel complexity of $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$ for a compact abelian group X.*

Notation 1.6. Let us recall the first six classes of the Borel hierarchy.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \{\text{open sets}\} & \subseteq \mathfrak{G}_\delta = \{G_\delta\text{-sets}\} \subseteq & \mathfrak{G}_{\delta\sigma} = \{\text{countable unions of } G_\delta\text{-sets}\} \\ \{\text{closed sets}\} & \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_\sigma = \{F_\sigma\text{-sets}\} \subseteq & \mathfrak{F}_{\sigma\delta} = \{\text{countable intersections of } F_\sigma\text{-sets}\} \end{array}$$

Note that if X is metrizable, then the diagonal inclusions hold too. More precisely,

$$\{\text{open sets}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_\sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{G}_{\delta\sigma} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\text{closed sets}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{G}_\delta \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_{\sigma\delta}\,.$$

Moreover, if X is infinite, then all the inclusions listed above are proper. In this paper we are interested in Borel subgroups, hence we shall use the above notation for the relative class of subgroups instead of sets. By Borel complexity of characterized subgroups we mean the study of the classes of the Borel hierarchy which these groups belong to.

The following remark provides an upper bound for the Borel complexity of characterized subgroups.

Remark 1.7. Every characterized subgroup H of a compact abelian group X is an $F_{\sigma\delta}$-subgroup of X, and hence H is a Borel subset of X. Indeed, if H is characterized by a sequence $\mathbf{v}$, this fact directly follows from the equality

$$\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X) = \bigcap_{0<M<\omega} \bigcup_{m} \left(\bigcap_{n>m} S_{n,M} \right), \text{ where } S_{n,M} := \left\{ x \in X : \|v_n(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{M} \right\}.$$

The characterized subgroups are Borel by the previous remark. Hence, if X is a compact metrizable abelian group and $H \in \mathfrak{Char}(X)$, then either $|H| = \aleph_0$ or $|H| = \mathfrak{c}$.

Remark 1.8. According to [CTW, Lemma 3.10], if X is an infinite compact abelian group and $\mathbf{v} \in \widehat{X}^{\mathbb{N}}$ contains a faithfully indexed subsequence, then $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$ has zero Haar measure, so the index $[X : \mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)]$ is uncountable, as X has measure 1.

It was proved in [DK] and independently in [BSW], that all countable subgroups of a compact metrizable abelian group are characterized.

Theorem 1.9. ([DK, BSW]) *Let X be a compact metrizable abelian group. Every countable subgroups of X is characterizable.*

As pointed out in [D], the metrizability in the above theorem is a *necessary* condition. On the other hand, it was proved in [DG] that one can reduce the study of characterized subgroups of compact abelian groups to the case of compact metrizable abelian groups in the following sense:

Theorem 1.10. [DG, Theorem B] *A subgroup H of a compact abelian group X is characterized if and only if H contains a closed G_δ-subgroup K of X such that H/K is a characterized subgroup of the compact metrizable group X/K.*

The following theorem from [DG] provides information about the Borel complexity of characterized subgroups:

Theorem 1.11. ([DG]) *For every infinite compact abelian group X the G_δ-subgroups are closed and the following inclusions hold:*

$$\mathfrak{G}_\delta(X) \subsetneqq \mathfrak{Char}(X) \subsetneqq \mathfrak{F}_{\sigma\delta}(X) \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathfrak{F}_\sigma(X) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{Char}(X). \tag{1}$$

If in addition X has finite exponent, then $\mathfrak{Char}(X) \subsetneqq \mathfrak{F}_\sigma(X)$.

It was proved in [G4], that the implication in the final part of the above Theorem can be inverted:

Theorem 1.12. ([G4]) $\mathfrak{Char}(X) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_\sigma(X)$ *for a compact abelian group X if and only if X has finite exponent.*

The first named author and Gabriyelyan described completely $\mathfrak{Char}(X)$ when X has finite exponent:

The following definition was inspired by a result from [DK] establishing that certain F_σ-subgroups of compact metrizable groups are characterized.

Definition 1.13. ([DG]) A subgroup H of a compact abelian group X is *countable modulo compact* (briefly, *CMC*) if H has a compact G_δ-subgroup K such that H/K is countable.

We denote by $\mathfrak{CmC}(X)$ the family of all CMC subgroups of X. The characterized F_σ-subgroups considered in [DK] are special CMC subgroups with an additional property (namely, H/K is torsion, in the notation of Definition 1.13). By Theorem 1.9 and Theorem 1.10 (see [DG, Corollary B1]), $\mathfrak{CmC}(X) \subseteq \mathfrak{Char}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F}_\sigma(X)$ for a compact abelian group X. It is proved in [DG], that $\mathfrak{CmC}(X) = \mathfrak{Char}(X)$ if and only if X has finite exponent.

Since the group $\mathbb{T}$ is not of finite exponent, Theorem 1.12 yields

$$\mathfrak{Char}(\mathbb{T}) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{F}_\sigma(\mathbb{T}). \tag{2}$$

On the other hand, the specific non-inclusion (2) was one of the main steps in the proof of the general Theorem 1.12 in [G4]. It is curious to note that (2) was *implicitly* established much earlier by Bukovský, Kholshevikova and Repický in [BKR]. In order to explain that we recall two notions related to sets of convergence of trigonometric series from [BKR] (further information and results about sets of convergence of trigonometric series can be found in [HMP, BKR]).

Definition 1.14.
- A set $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ is an *N-set* if there exists a sequence $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of non-negative real numbers with $\sum a_n = +\infty$, such that the trigonometric series $\sum a_n \sin 2\pi nx$ is absolutely convergent in E.
- A Borel set (resp. subgroup) $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ is a *weak Dirichlet set* (resp. *weak Dirichlet subgroup*) or briefly *wD-set* (resp. *wD-subgroup*) if for every measure μ carried by E, there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers u_n such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_E \left|e^{2\pi i u_n x} - 1\right| d\mu = 0$.

Let $\mathfrak{N}(\mathbb{T})$ denote the class of all N-sets of $\mathbb{T}$ and let $\mathfrak{wD}(\mathbb{T})$ denote the class of all wD-sets of $\mathbb{T}$.

Remark 1.15. As an obvious consequence of the Dominated Convergence Theorem, every characterized subgroup of $\mathbb{T}$ is a weak-Dirichlet subgroup of $\mathbb{T}$.

Theorem 1.16 ([HMP, p.49])**.** *A Borel set $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ is an N-set if and only if it is contained in a σ-compact wD-set (or equivalently, a σ-compact wD-subgroup).*

As a consequence of Theorem 1.16 and Remark 1.15 one obtains the following corollary.

Corollary 1.17. $\mathfrak{Char}(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathfrak{F}_\sigma(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq \mathfrak{wD}(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathfrak{F}_\sigma(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathfrak{F}_\sigma(\mathbb{T})$.

It was proved by Arbault in [Arb] that $\mathcal{A} := \mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$, with $\mathbf{u} = (2^{2^n})$, is not an N-set. Hence, the above corollary yields $\mathcal{A} \notin \mathfrak{F}_\sigma(\mathbb{T})$ and consequently (2). Unaware of this fact, Gabriyelyan [G4] proved directly that the subgroup of $\mathbb{T}$ characterized by the sequence $u_n = n!$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ is not an F_σ-set in $\mathbb{T}$, so witnessing again the non-inclusion (2). Note that both sequences $(n!)$ and (2^{2^n}) are a-sequences.

In the light of these two examples, it is natural to ask when $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}) \in \mathfrak{F}_\sigma(\mathbb{T})$ for a *general sequence* $\mathbf{u}$. The line of the proof of Theorem 1.12 suggests to use the technique of Polishable subgroup of a Polish group.

1.3 About Polishability of Characterized Subgroups

The notion of Polishable subgroup was introduced in [KL].

Definition 1.18. A subgroup H of a Polish group G is *polishable* if H satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

(a) there exists a Polish group topology τ on H having the same Borel sets as H when considered as a topological subgroup of G;

(b) there exists a continuous isomorphism from a Polish group P to H;

(c) there exists a continuous surjective homomorphism from a Polish group P onto H.

It is a folklore fact that the topology witnessing the polishability of a subgroup H is unique (see [S] for an explicit proof). We denote by $\pi(H)$ this unique topology.

Remark 1.19. Let (X, τ) be a compact metrizable abelian group and $H \leq X$. It is important to mention that $\pi(H) = \tau \restriction_H$, if and only if H is closed. Indeed, $\tau \restriction_H$ is Polish if and only if $H \in \mathfrak{G}_\delta(X)$. By [DG, Proposition 2.4] (see also Theorem 1.11), the class $\mathfrak{G}_\delta(X)$ coincides with class of closed subgroups of X. Using the uniqueness of $\pi(H)$, we can conclude that $\pi(H) = \tau \restriction_H$ precisely when H is closed.

Answering negatively a question of Kunen and the first named author on whether $\mathfrak{F}_\sigma(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq \mathfrak{Char}(\mathbb{T})$, Biró proved the more precise theorem below. To state the theorem, let us recall that a non empty compact subset K of an infinite compact metrizable abelian group X is called a *Kronecker set*, if for every continuous function $f : K \to \mathbb{T}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a character $v \in \widehat{X}$ such that

$$\max\{\|f(x) - v(x)\| : x \in K\} < \varepsilon.$$

Biró proved that every characterized subgroup of $\mathbb{T}$ is Polishable and that for any uncountable Kronecker set K in $\mathbb{T}$, the F_σ-subgroup $\langle K\rangle$ generated by K is not polishable and hence not characterized:

Theorem 1.20 ([Bi, Theorem 2])**.** *If K is an uncountable Kronecker set of $\mathbb{T}$, then $\langle K\rangle \in \mathfrak{F}_\sigma(\mathbb{T}) \setminus \mathfrak{Char}(\mathbb{T})$.*

A significant generalization of the above results was obtained by Gabriyelyan [G2]:

Theorem 1.21. [G2, Th. 1, Th. 2] *Let X be a compact metrizable abelian group. Then $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$ is Polishable for every sequence $\mathbf{v}$ of characters of X. If K is an uncountable Kronecker set in X, then $\langle K\rangle$ is not polishable; so $\langle K\rangle$ is not characterized.*

The polishability of $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$ is witnessed by a topology induced by the following metric on X (see [G2, DG] for a proof):

Definition 1.22. Let X be a compact metrizable abelian group with compatible metric δ and let $\mathbf{v} = (v_n)$ be a sequence of characters of X. For $x, y \in X$ let

$$\varrho_{\mathbf{v}}(x, y) = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\{\delta(x, y), d(v_n(x), v_n(y))\}. \tag{3}$$

The metric topology $\tau_{\mathbf{v}}$ of X generated by $\varrho_{\mathbf{v}}$ is complete (see [DG]), we refer to it as *associated to* $\mathbf{v}$. The Polish topology $\pi(\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X))$ witnessing the polishability of $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$, is the restriction of $\tau_{\mathbf{v}}$ in $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$. It was introduced for $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$ in [Bi, G2] inspired by [AN] and then extended on the whole group X in [DG].

Let $\mathfrak{Pol}(X)$ denote the collection of all Polishable subgroups of X. Hence, Theorem 1.21 (a) can be written briefly as

$$\mathfrak{Char}(X) \subseteq \mathfrak{Pol}(X).$$

Hjort [Hj] proved that every uncountable Polish group contains polishable subgroups of arbitrary Borel complexity witnessing $\mathfrak{Char}(X) \subsetneq \mathfrak{Pol}(X)$ in view of Remark 1.7. In [G1, G2] Gabriyelyan proved that there exists a compact group X witnessing $\mathfrak{Char}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F}_\sigma(X) \subsetneq \mathfrak{Pol}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F}_\sigma(X)$. More precisely, he proved that there exists a polishable F_σ subgroup of $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$ that is not characterized.

2 Main Results

In this paper we provide a general tool to study the special aspect of Problem 1.5 concerning F_σ-subgroups (Theorem A) with particular emphasis on the case $X = \mathbb{T}$. It is based on a complete description, in terms of an appropriate topology defined starting from a sequence which characterizes a subgroup of X. We provide a general criterion in Theorem A to determine whether $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ is an F_σ-set. In the case of $X = \mathbb{T}$ and a-sequences one can prove much more than the mere non-inclusion $\mathfrak{Char}(\mathbb{T}) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{F}_\sigma(\mathbb{T})$ established in Theorem 1.11. Namely, *the only proper F_σ-subgroups of $\mathbb{T}$, characterized by an a-sequence are the countable ones.* Moreover, for a-sequences we will find an explicit subgroup that witnesses the separability of $(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}), \pi(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})))$, namely the torsion subgroup $t(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}))$.

In the general case of a compact metrizable abelian group X we have defined above a second assignment with domain $\widehat{X}^{\mathbb{N}}$, namely $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \tau_{\mathbf{v}}$. This assignment defines a second equivalence relations in $\widehat{X}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ if and only if $\tau_{\mathbf{u}} = \tau_{\mathbf{v}}$. We discuss the connection between the equivalence relations $\sim$ and $\approx$. In general, $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v} \not\Rightarrow \mathbf{u} \sim \mathbf{v}$ (see Remark 2.4). On the other hand, the topology $\pi(\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X))$, witnessing the polishability of $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$ is unique. It coincides with the restriction of $\tau_{\mathbf{v}}$ to $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$, so this restriction depends only on the characterized subgroup and not on the sequence that characterizes it. In §3.1 we

prove that if the characterized subgroup $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$ is an F_σ-subgroup, then the uniqueness of the topology $\tau_{\mathbf{v}}$ extends to the whole group in the sense that $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X) = \mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{u}}(X)$ implies $\tau_{\mathbf{v}} = \tau_{\mathbf{u}}$ (i.e., $\mathbf{u} \sim \mathbf{v} \Rightarrow \mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$) in case $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X) = \mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{u}}(X)$ is an F_σ-subgroup, see Corollary A1. We conjecture that this implication fails in the general case:

Question 2.1. *Does* $\mathbf{u} \sim \mathbf{v} \Rightarrow \mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ *in the general case?*

2.1 Results for compact metrizable abelian groups

For a compact metrizable abelian group (X, τ), we introduce another group topology $\tau^*_{\mathbf{v}}$ with $\tau \subseteq \tau^*_{\mathbf{v}} \subseteq \tau_{\mathbf{v}}$ in §3.1 (see Definition 3.2). We refer to $\tau^*_{\mathbf{v}}$ as the *F_σ-test topology* with respect to the sequence $\mathbf{v}$. The motivation for such a choice is clear from the next theorem:

Theorem A. *Let X be a compact metrizable abelian group (X, τ) and $\mathbf{v} \in \widehat{X}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X) \in \mathfrak{F}_\sigma(X) \iff \mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X) \in \tau^*_{\mathbf{v}}.$$

As a corollary of Theorem A, one can prove that the topology $\tau_{\mathbf{v}}$ does not depend on the choice of the characterizing sequence $\mathbf{v}$, whenever the characterized subgroup $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$ is an F_σ-subgroup.

Corollary A1. *Let X be compact metrizable abelian group and $\mathbf{v}$ be a sequence of characters with $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X) \in \mathfrak{F}_\sigma(X)$. If $\mathbf{u}$ is a sequence of characters with $\mathbf{u} \sim \mathbf{v}$, then $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$.*

The next corollary of Theorem A shows that $(X, \tau_{\mathbf{v}})$ is Polish only in some very special cases.

Corollary A2. *Let (X, τ) be a compact metrizable abelian group and $\mathbf{v} \in \widehat{X}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(a) $(X, \tau_{\mathbf{v}})$ is Polish;

(b) $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$ is a τ-open subgroup;

(c) $\tau_{\mathbf{u}} = \tau$;

(d) $\mathbf{v}$ has no faithfully indexed subsequences and every v_n that appears infinitely many times in $\mathbf{v}$ is a torsion character.

Remark 2.2. The second part of in item (d) of Corollary A2 cannot be omitted. Indeed it may occur that $\mathbf{v}$ has no faithfully indexed subsequences, but $\mu(\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)) = 0$ and hence it has uncountable index. Take for example $X = \mathbb{T}$ and $\mathbf{v} = (2)$ the constant sequence with term 2, then $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbb{T}) = \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ is a finite closed subgroup of measure 0 and of uncountable index.

The next theorem, proved in [DI2], describes the cases when $\tau_{\mathbf{u}}$ and its restriction $\pi(\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{u}}(X)) = \tau_{\mathbf{u}} \restriction_{\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{u}}(X)}$ are discrete. Since the latter is a finer Polish topology on $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{u}}(X)$, the group $(\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{u}}(X), \pi(\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{u}}(X)))$ is discrete if and only if $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{u}}(X)$ is countable. Moreover, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$ is countable if and only if $\tau_{\mathbf{v}} = \tau^*_{\mathbf{v}}$ is discrete:

Theorem 2.3. ([DI2]) *Let X be a metrizable compact abelian group and $\mathbf{v} \in \widehat{X}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(a) $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$ is countable;

(b) $|\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)| < \mathfrak{c}$;

(c) $\tau_{\mathbf{v}}$ is discrete;

(d) $\tau^*_{\mathbf{v}}$ is discrete;

(e) $\tau^*_{\mathbf{v}} \restriction_{\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)}$ is discrete;

(f) $\pi(\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X))$ is discrete.

Remark 2.4. The above theorem yields that in general $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ does not imply $\mathbf{u} \sim \mathbf{v}$. Indeed, all countable subgroups are characterized (by Theorem 1.9) and have the same associated topology, namely the discrete one (by Theorem 2.3).

2.2 Results for the circle group

The subgroups of $\mathfrak{Char}(\mathbb{T})$ are characterized by sequences $\mathbf{u}$ of non-zero integers. A useful feature of such a sequence is the sequence of ratios $(q_n) = (\frac{u_n}{u_{n-1}})$. Furthermore, one can define

$$q_{\mathbf{u}} := \limsup_n q_n, \quad Q_{\mathbf{u}} := \sup_n q_n, \quad S_{\mathbf{u}} = \{m \in \mathbb{N} : q_m = Q_{\mathbf{u}}\} \text{ and } S^*_{\mathbf{u}} = \{m_k \in \mathbb{N} : q_{m_k} = q_{\mathbf{u}}\}.$$

Clearly, $q_{\mathbf{u}} \leq Q_{\mathbf{u}}$ and $S^*_{\mathbf{u}}$ is infinite when $Q_{\mathbf{u}} < \infty$. Moreover, $q_{\mathbf{u}} = Q_{\mathbf{u}}$ precisely when $S_{\mathbf{u}}$ is infinite (and this case $S_{\mathbf{u}} = S^*_{\mathbf{u}}$). The sequence of ratios (q_n) is bounded precisely when $q_{\mathbf{u}} < \infty$, this occurs if and only if $Q_{\mathbf{u}} < \infty$.

By Theorem 1.3, the subgroup $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ is countable, when $Q_{\mathbf{u}} < \infty$. Hence, the topology $\tau_{\mathbf{u}}$ restricted to $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ is discrete, and actually $(\mathbb{T}, \tau_{\mathbf{u}})$ is discrete, by Theorem 2.3. In addition we can specify which balls are trivial.

Proposition B. *If $\mathbf{u}$ is a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers with $Q_{\mathbf{u}} < \infty$, then $\tau_{\mathbf{u}}$ is discrete. More precisely, $B^{\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}}_{\frac{1}{2Q_{\mathbf{u}}}}(0) = \{0\}$ in $\mathbb{T}$.*

Now we investigate in more detail the case of an a-sequence $\mathbf{u}$ with $q_{\mathbf{u}} < \infty$. Since the ratios q_n are integers, also $q_{\mathbf{u}}$ and $Q_{\mathbf{u}}$ are integers. We shall study the ball $B^{\mathbf{u}}_{\frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{u}}}}(0)$. It turns out that the closed $\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}$-ball $\{x \in \mathbb{T} : \varrho_{\mathbf{u}}(x, 0) \leq 1/q_{\mathbf{u}}\}$ centered at 0 has size $\mathfrak{c}$. Moreover, if $S^*_{\mathbf{u}}$ is a big set in $\mathbb{N}$ (i.e., the gaps between two consecutive elements of $S^*_{\mathbf{u}}$ are bounded), then actually $|B^{\mathbf{u}}_{\frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{u}}}}(0)| = \mathfrak{c}$.

Proposition C. *Let $\mathbf{u}$ be an a-sequence with bounded ratio sequence and let $\delta_k := m_{k+1} - m_k$, where $S^*_{\mathbf{u}} = \{m_k \in \mathbb{N} : q_{m_k} = q_{\mathbf{u}}\}$.*

(a) there are $\mathfrak{c}$ many elements $x \in \mathbb{T}$ with $\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}(x, 0) = \frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{u}}}$ when the sequence δ_k is unbounded;

(b) if the sequence δ_k is bounded, then $\left|B^{\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}}_{\frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{u}}}}(0)\right| = \mathfrak{c}$.

Taking an a-sequence with $q_{\mathbf{u}} = Q_{\mathbf{u}}$ and $S_{\mathbf{u}} = S^*_{\mathbf{u}}$ big in Proposition C will show that the radius $\frac{1}{2Q^{\mathbf{u}}}$ in Proposition B cannot be increased to $\frac{1}{Q^{\mathbf{u}}}$.

The following question arises naturally from the two previous propositions.

Question 2.5. *Let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ have bounded sequence of ratios. What can be said about the size of $B^{\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}}_{\varepsilon}(0)$, whenever $\frac{1}{2Q^{\mathbf{u}}} < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{u}}}$?*

In §3.3.2, as we previously announced, for a-sequences in $\mathbb{T}$, we will find a specific subgroup of $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ witnessing the separability of $(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}), \tau_{\mathbf{u}})$. This subgroup is $t(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}))$, i.e., the torsion subgroup of $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$. This need not be true if $\mathbf{u}$ is not an a-sequence as the next remark shows.

Example 2.6. If $\mathbf{u}$ is not an a-sequence, then $t(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}))$ need not be dense in $(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}), \tau_{\mathbf{u}})$. This may occur if the ratio sequence (q_n) is divergent (so $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ is uncountable) and $t(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}))$ is finite (hence non-dense respect to any Hausdroff group topology on $\mathbb{T}$, so non-dense in $(\mathbb{T}, \tau_{\mathbf{u}})$ either). An example to this effect is the sequence of prime numbers $\mathbf{u}$. Then $t(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}))$ is trivial, by Proposition 3.17.

Proposition D. *If $\mathbf{u}$ is an a-sequence, then $t(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}))$ is a dense subgroup of $(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}), \tau_{\mathbf{u}} \restriction_{\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})})$.*

Theorem E. *The following are equivalent for an a-sequence $\mathbf{u}$ in $\mathbb{Z}$:*

(a) (q_n) is bounded;

(b) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}) \leq \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$;

(c) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ is countable;

(d) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}) \in \mathfrak{F}_\sigma(\mathbb{T})$;

(e) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ *is* $\tau^*_{\mathbf{u}}$*-open;*

(f) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ *is* $\tau_{\mathbf{u}}$*-open.*

Corollary E. *If* $\mathbf{u}$ *is an a-sequence with unbounded sequence of ratios, then* $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}) \notin \mathfrak{F}_\sigma(\mathbb{T})$.

This corollary covers the results from [BKR] and [G4] with $\mathbf{u} = (2^{2^n})$ and $\mathbf{u} = (n!)$.

Some implications of Theorem E hold for a general sequence of integers $\mathbf{u}$, while others are no more valid. For more details see [DI2], where the main results of this paper (as well as some related results from [DI3]) were announced without a proof.

3 Proofs

Notation 3.1. Let $\mathbf{u} = (u_n)$ be a sequence of characters of X, $\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}$ be as in Definition 1.22, $\| \ \|_{\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}}$ the norm induced by $\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}$, $B^{\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}}_\varepsilon(0)$ be the $\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}$-ball of radius ε around 0 in X, $\tau_{\mathbf{u}}$ be the topology induced by $\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}$ in X and $\tau_{\mathbf{u}} \restriction \mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ its restriction on $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$.

3.1 The general case of compact metrizable abelian groups

Now we define the F_σ-test topology $\tau^*_{\mathbf{v}}$.

Definition 3.2. For a sequence $\mathbf{v}$ of characters of a compact metrizable abelian group (X, τ), $\tau^*_{\mathbf{v}}$ is the group topology on X with filter of neighborhoods of 0 in X is generated by the family

$$\left\{ W_n = \overline{B^{\varrho_{\mathbf{v}}}_{1/n}(0)} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \tag{4}$$

Remark 3.3. Let us see that $\tau^*_{\mathbf{v}}$ is a metrizable group topology with $\tau \subseteq \tau^*_{\mathbf{v}} \subseteq \tau_{\mathbf{v}}$. To prove that $\tau^*_{\mathbf{v}}$ is a metrizable group topology, it suffices to note that (4) is a countable decreasing chain of symmetric sets, such that

$$W_{2n} + W_{2n} = \overline{B^{\varrho_{\mathbf{v}}}_{1/2n}(0)} + \overline{B^{\varrho_{\mathbf{v}}}_{1/2n}(0)} \subseteq \overline{B^{\varrho_{\mathbf{v}}}_{1/2n}(0) + B^{\varrho_{\mathbf{v}}}_{1/2n}(0)} \subseteq \overline{B^{\varrho_{\mathbf{v}}}_{1/n}(0)} = W_n.$$

For the inclusion $\tau^*_{\mathbf{v}} \subseteq \tau_{\mathbf{v}}$ it suffices to note that for every W_n there exists $m > n$ such that $B^{\varrho_{\mathbf{v}}}_{1/m}(0) \subseteq W_n$.

To prove the inclusion $\tau \subseteq \tau^*_{\mathbf{v}}$ take $0 \in U \in \tau$. Then U contains a closed ball $\overline{B^\delta_{1/n}(0)}$ in τ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where δ is a compatible metric with τ. Furthermore, $B^{\varrho_{\mathbf{v}}}_{1/n}(0) \subseteq B^\delta_{1/n}(0)$, as $\varrho_{\mathbf{v}}(x, y) \geq \delta(x, y)$ for every $x, y \in X$, according to (3). Hence, $W_n \subseteq \overline{B^\delta_{1/n}(0)} \subseteq U$, so $U \in \tau^*_{\mathbf{v}}$.

Proof of Theorem A. Suppose that $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X) = \bigcup_m F_m$ for some closed sets F_m of (X, τ). As $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$ is a subgroup, we can assume without loss of generality that $F_m = -F_m$ is symmetric for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously, these F_m are $\tau_{\mathbf{v}}$-closed as well. So, applying the Baire category theorem to the Polish space $(\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X), \tau_{\mathbf{v}})$ we deduce that F_{m_0} has a non-empty interior for some m_0. Since F_{m_0} is closed in (X, τ), there exists some $x_0 \in F_{m_0}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$\overline{B^{\varrho_{\mathbf{v}}}_{1/n}(x_0)} = x_0 + \overline{B^{\varrho_{\mathbf{v}}}_{1/n}(0)} \subseteq F_{m_0} \subseteq \mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X). \tag{5}$$

Hence $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$ is $\tau^*_{\mathbf{v}}$-open, since it is a subgroup.

Converse, let $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X) \in \tau^*_{\mathbf{v}}$. Thence there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $W_n \subseteq \mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$. Let D be a $\tau_{\mathbf{v}}$-dense countable subset of $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$. Then D is also $\tau^*_{\mathbf{v}}$-dense by Remark 3.3, so $D + W_n = \mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$. Hence, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X) = \bigcup_{d \in D} d + W_n$. Each $d + W_n$ is τ-closed, as W_n are τ-closed. Therefore, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$ is an F_σ-set. □

Proof of Corollary A1. Let $H := \mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X) = \mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{u}}(X)$. Recall that $\tau_{\mathbf{v}}$ and $\tau_{\mathbf{u}}$ coincide when restricted to H, since the topology witnessing the polishability of H is unique. Moreover, as $H \in \mathfrak{F}_\sigma(X)$, H is $\tau^*_{\mathbf{v}}$-open and hence also $\tau_{\mathbf{v}}$-open. Analogously, H is $\tau_{\mathbf{u}}$-open. Hence $\tau_{\mathbf{v}}$ and $\tau_{\mathbf{u}}$ coincide on a subgroup that is open in both topologies. Therefore, they coincide in X. □

Proof of Corollary A2. (a) $\leftrightarrow$ (b) The group (X,τ) is Polish and $\tau_{\mathbf{v}} \geq \tau$. Therefore, $(X,\tau_{\mathbf{v}})$ is Polish if and only if $\tau = \tau_{\mathbf{v}}$.

(b) $\rightarrow$ (c) Assume that $\tau = \tau_{\mathbf{v}}$ (i.e., $(X,\tau_{\mathbf{v}})$ is Polish). Then $H = \mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$, is Polish in $\tau \restriction H = \tau_{\mathbf{v}} \restriction H$. By Remark 1.19, H is τ-closed, in particular $H \in \mathfrak{F}_\sigma(X,\tau)$. Theorem A implies $H \in \tau_{\mathbf{v}} = \tau$, i.e., H is also τ-open.

(c) $\rightarrow$ (d) First we note that the quotient X/H is compact and discrete, and hence finite. So H is a finite index subgroup of X.

Let μ be the normalized Haar measure in X. Since the τ-open subgroup $H = \mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$ has a finite index, one has $\mu(H) > 0$. By Remark 1.8 $\mathbf{v}$ has no faithfully indexed subsequences. If v_n appears infinitely many times in $\mathbf{v}$, then v_n vanishes on H. Hence v_n factorizes through the quotient map $X \to X/H$. Since X/H is finite, the image $v_n(X)$ of v_n is a finite subgroup of $\mathbb{T}$. If m is the exponent of $v_n(X)$, then $mv_n = 0$, i.e., v_n is torsion.

(d) $\rightarrow$ (b) If $\mathbf{v} = (v_n)$ has no faithfully indexed subsequences, then there exist finitely many characters $\{v_{n_k} \mid 0 \leq k \leq m\}$ that occur infinitely many times in the sequence $\mathbf{v}$. Then each character v_{n_k} is torsion and vanishes on H, so $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(H) = \bigcap_{k=0}^{m} \ker v_{n_k}$ is τ-closed. Since each v_{n_k} is torsion, the subgroup $v_{n_k}(X)$ of $\mathbb{T}$ is of finite exponent, hence it is finite. This proves that $\ker v_{n_k}$ is a finite index subgroup of X. Therefore, the τ-closed subgroup H has a finite index, so H is τ-open. This proves that $H = \mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$ is also $\tau_{\mathbf{v}}$-open. Moreover, being H-closed, $\tau \restriction H = \pi(H) = \tau_{\mathbf{v}} \restriction H$ by Remark 1.19. Therefore, τ and $\tau_{\mathbf{v}}$ coincide on the subgroup H which is open in both topologies. Hence, $\tau = \tau_{\mathbf{v}}$. □

3.2 Description of $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ for an a-sequence u

Throughout this section $\mathbf{u} = (u_n)$ will denote an a-sequence.

The following fact, providing a canonical representation of $x \in [0,1)$, is well-known (see for example [P]).

Definition 3.4 (Canonical Representation with respect to $\mathbf{u}$)**.** Let $x \in [0,1)$. Then exists a unique sequence $(c_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $0 \leq c_n < q_n$ for every n,

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{u_n}, \tag{6}$$

and $c_n < q_n - 1$ for infinitely many n.

Remark 3.5. If (6) is a canonical representation, then

$$\left(\frac{c_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}} + \cdots + \frac{c_{n+t}}{q_{n+1} \cdots q_{n+t}} + \cdots \right) < 1$$

for all $t, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Notation 3.6. For $x \in \mathbb{T}$ with canonical representation (6), let

- $\mathrm{supp}_{\mathbf{u}}(x) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid c_n \neq 0\}$ and

Call an infinite set A of naturals

- $\mathbf{u}$-*bounded* if the sequence $\{q_n : n \in A\}$ is bounded;
- $\mathbf{u}$-*divergent* if the sequence $\{q_n : n \in A\}$ diverges to infinity.

The following results are corollaries of Theorem [DI1, Theorem 2.3] covering the particular cases used here. That theorem in full generality is not used here.

Theorem 3.7. [DdS, Corollary 2.4] *Let $x \in \mathbb{T}$. If $\mathrm{supp}_{\mathbf{u}}(x)$ is $\mathbf{u}$-bounded, then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $x \in \mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$;

(ii) $c_n = 0$ *for almost all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 3.8 ([DI1] Corollary 3.4)**.** *Suppose that* $x \in \mathbb{T}$ *has* $\mathbf{u}$*-divergent support. Then* $x \in \mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ *if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:*

(1) $\lim_{n \in supp_{\mathbf{u}}(x)} \frac{c_n}{q_n} = 0$ *in* $\mathbb{T}$ *and*

(2) $\lim_{n \in I'} \frac{c_n}{q_n} = 0$ *in* $\mathbb{R}$ *for every infinite* $I' \subseteq supp_{\mathbf{u}}(x)$ *such that* $I' - 1$ *is* $\mathbf{u}$*-bounded.*

The following result was established in [DdS]:

Corollary 3.9. *Suppose* $x \in \mathbb{T}$ *has* $\mathbf{u}$*-divergent support. Then* $x \in \mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ *whenever* $\lim_{n \in supp_{\mathbf{u}}(x)} \frac{c_n}{q_n} = 0$ *in* $\mathbb{R}$*.*

The next Corollary, following obviously from the previous one, will be useful in the applications.

Corollary 3.10. *Suppose* $x \in \mathbb{T}$ *has* $\mathbf{u}$*-divergent support. Then* $x \notin \mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ *whenever* $\frac{c_n}{q_n}$ *does not converge to 0 in* $\mathbb{T}$*.*

3.3 Proofs for the circle group

3.3.1 The $\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}$-balls in $\mathbb{T}$

Now we recall some fact on the norm of the torus and then we prove Proposition C.

Remark 3.11. Since $\mathrm{diam}(\mathbb{T}) = \frac{1}{2}$, $\mathbb{T} = B_\varepsilon^\varrho(0) \subseteq B_\varepsilon^d(0)$ whenever $\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

Remark 3.12. As already mentioned above, we identify elements of $\mathbb{T}$ with their unique preimage in $[0, 1)$ and use the inequality $\|nx\| \leq nx$ (in $\mathbb{R}$) for $x \in \mathbb{T}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $nx \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then $\|nx\| = nx$.

Proof of Proposition B. Let $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2Q^{\mathbf{u}}}$ and

$$x \in B_\varepsilon^{\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}}(0). \tag{7}$$

Our aim is to prove that $x = 0$. From (7) we deduce that

$$\|x\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_n x\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{8}$$

Claim 1. *If* $x < \varepsilon$*, then* $\|u_n x\| = u_n x < \varepsilon$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$*.*

To prove the claim we argue by induction. For $n = 0$, $u_0 \leq Q^{\mathbf{u}}$, so $u_0 x \leq Q^{\mathbf{u}} x < Q^{\mathbf{u}} \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. Hence, $\|u_0 x\| = u_0 x < \varepsilon$. Assume that $n \geq 0$ and $u_n x < \varepsilon$ holds true. Then $u_{n+1} x \leq Q^{\mathbf{u}} u_n x < Q^{\mathbf{u}} \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ and hence $u_{n+1} x < \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, (7) yields $\varepsilon > \|u_{n+1} x\| = u_{n+1} x$. This concludes the proof of the claim.

According to (8), either $x < \varepsilon$, or $1 - \varepsilon < x < 1$. In case $x < \varepsilon$, Claim 1 yields $u_n x < \varepsilon$ and hence $x < \frac{\varepsilon}{u_n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\mathbf{u}$ is strictly increasing, this entails $x = 0$.

Let us see now that the case $1 - \varepsilon < x < 1$ cannot occur. Indeed, if $1 - \varepsilon < x < 1$, then $y = 1 - x > 0$ satisfies $y \in B_\varepsilon^{\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}}(0)$ and $0 < y < \varepsilon$. Hence, $y = 0$ by the previous argument, a contradiction. □

In order to demonstrate Proposition C we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.13. *Let* $\mathbf{u}$ *be a strictly increasing a-sequence with* $q_{\mathbf{u}} < \infty$*, let*

$$S = \{n_1 < n_2 \cdots < n_k < \cdots\} \subset S_{\mathbf{u}}^* \text{ with } d_i = n_{i+1} - n_i \geq 2 \text{ for } 1 = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{9}$$

and let

$$x_S = \frac{1}{u_{n_1}} - \frac{1}{u_{n_2}} + \frac{1}{u_{n_3}} - \frac{1}{u_{n_4}} + \cdots. \tag{10}$$

(a) If $n_k \le n < n_{k+1}$, then $\|u_{n-1}x_S\| \le \|u_{n_k-1}x_S\|$ and

$$\frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{u}}} - \frac{1}{2^{d_k-1}q_{\mathbf{u}}^2} \le \frac{1}{q_{n_k}} - \frac{1}{q_{n_k}\cdots q_{n_{k+1}}} \le \|u_{n_k-1}x_S\| \le \frac{1}{q_{n_k}} - \frac{1}{q_{n_k}\cdots q_{n_{k+1}}} + \frac{1}{q_{n_k}\cdots q_{n_{k+2}}}. \tag{11}$$

(b) $\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}(x_S, 0) = \max\{\|x_S\|, \sup\{\|u_{n_k-1}x_S\| : k \in \mathbb{N}\}\}$.

(c) If $S' \ne S$ is as in (9), then $x_S \ne x_{S'}$.

Proof. (a) Clearly, one has $\|u_{n_k-1}x_S\| = \left\| \frac{1}{q_{n_k}} - \frac{1}{q_{n_k}\cdots q_{n_{k+1}}} + \frac{1}{q_{n_k}\cdots q_{n_{k+2}}} - \cdots \right\|$, so

$$\frac{1}{q_{n_k}} - \frac{1}{q_{n_k}\cdots q_{n_{k+1}}} \le \|u_{n_k-1}x_S\| \le \frac{1}{q_{n_k}} - \frac{1}{q_{n_k}\cdots q_{n_{k+1}}} + \frac{1}{q_{n_k}\cdots q_{n_{k+2}}}. \tag{12}$$

This proves (11).

To prove the first assetrtion we need to see that for all values of n satisfying $n_k \le n < n_{k+1}$, the biggest value of $\|u_{n-1}x_S\|$ is obtained for $n = n_k$. Indeed, as $n < n_{k+1}$, one has

$$\|u_{n-1}x_S\| = \left\| \frac{1}{q_n\cdots q_{n_{k+1}}} - \frac{1}{q_n\cdots q_{n_{k+2}}} + \frac{1}{q_n\cdots q_{n_{k+3}}} - \cdots \right\| \le \frac{1}{q_n\cdots q_{n_{k+1}}} \le \frac{1}{2^{n_{k+1}-n}q_{\mathbf{u}}} \le \frac{1}{2q_{\mathbf{u}}}. \tag{13}$$

Obviously, $\frac{1}{2q_{\mathbf{u}}} \le \frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{u}}} - \frac{1}{2^{d_k-1}q_{\mathbf{u}}^2}$. Hence, (11) and (13) yield $\|u_{n-1}x_S\| \le \|u_{n_k-1}x_S\|$.

(b) Let us first prove that if $0 < n < n_1$, then $\|u_{n-1}x_S\| \le \|u_{n_1-1}x_S\|$. Indeed,

$$\|u_{n-1}x_S\| \le \frac{1}{2^{n_1-n}q_{\mathbf{u}}} \le \frac{1}{2q_{\mathbf{u}}} \le \frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{u}}} - \frac{1}{2^{d_1-1}q_{\mathbf{u}}^2} \le \frac{1}{q_{n_1}} - \frac{1}{q_{n_1}\cdots q_{n_2}} \le \|u_{n_1-1}x_S\|, \tag{14}$$

where the first inequality is obtain in analogy with (13), while the last one follows from (12) with $k = 1$. Now the assertion follows from (a), (14), the definition of $\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}$ and the obvious inequality $\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}(x_S, 0) \ge \max\{\|x_S\|, \sup\{\|u_{n_k-1}x_S\| : k \in \mathbb{N}\}\}$.

(c) It is easy to see that

$$x_S = \left(\frac{q_{n_1+1}-1}{u_{n_1+1}} + \frac{q_{n_1+2}-1}{u_{n_1+2}} + \ldots + \frac{q_{n_2}-1}{u_{n_2}} \right) + \left(\frac{q_{n_3+1}-1}{u_{n_3+1}} + \ldots + \frac{q_{n_4}-1}{u_{n_4}} \right) + \ldots \tag{15}$$

Since infinitely many coefficients $c_j = 0$ (e.g., those with $n_{2k} < j \le n_{2k+1}$), (15) is the canonical representation of x_S. The same holds for $x_{S'}$. It is clear now, that $x_S \ne x_{S'}$ when $S \ne S'$. □

Proof of Proposition C. Pick a subsequence S of $S^*_{\mathbf{u}} = (m_k)$ as in (10), such that $n_1 > m_1$, so that $u_{n_1} \ge 2q_{\mathbf{u}}$. Hence,

$$\|x_S\| \le \frac{1}{u_{n_1}} \le \frac{1}{2q_{\mathbf{u}}}. \tag{16}$$

From the previous lemma we have

$$\frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{u}}} - \frac{1}{2^{d_k+1}} \le \|u_{n_k-1}x_S\| \le \frac{1}{q_{n_k}} - \frac{1}{q_{n_k}\cdots q_{n_{k+1}}} + \frac{1}{q_{n_k}\cdots q_{n_{k+2}}} \le \frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{u}}} - \frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{u}}^{d_k+1}} + \frac{1}{2^{d_k+d_{k+1}}}. \tag{17}$$

If the sequence of differences $\delta_k = m_{k+1} - m_k$ is unbounded, then we can choose S such that (d_k) is divergent, then (16), (17) and item (c) of the previous lemma ensure that $\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}(0, x_S) = \frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{u}}}$. Since there are $\mathfrak{c}$ many subsequences S of $S_{\mathbf{u}}$ with this property, this proves item (a) in view of Lemma 3.13(c).

Now assume that the sequence (δ_k) is bounded. Pick a subsequence S of $S_{\mathbf{u}}$ as in (10), such that $d_k = n_{k+1} - n_k \le d$ for some d and for all k. From the equality in (13) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n-1}x_S\| &\le \frac{1}{q_{n_k}} - \frac{1}{q_{n_k}\cdots q_{n_{k+1}}} + \frac{1}{q_{n_k}\cdots q_{n_{k+2}}} = \frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{u}}} - \frac{1}{q_{n_k}\cdots q_{n_{k+1}}}\left(1 - \frac{1}{q_{n_{k+1}+1}\cdots q_{n_{k+2}}}\right) \le \\ &\le \frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{u}}} - \frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{u}}^{d_k+1}}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \le \frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{u}}} - \frac{1}{2q_{\mathbf{u}}^{d}} < \frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{u}}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (16) and in view of Lemma 3.13(b) one can deduce $x_S \in B^{\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}}_{\frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{u}}}}(0)$. To get $\left|B^{\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}}_{\frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{u}}}}(0)\right| = \mathfrak{c}$ take $\mathfrak{c}$ many subsequences S of $S_{\mathbf{u}}$ as above and use item (c) of the above lemma. □

The following remark shows that the implications of Theorem 1.3 cannot be inverted

Remark 3.14. [B–W1] If H is an infinite characterized subgroup of $\mathbb{T}$, with characterizing sequence $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$, then for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ one can find a strictly increasing sequence $\mathbf{v} \sim \mathbf{u}$ such that $q_{\mathbf{v}} = \infty$ and $\liminf_n \frac{v_{n+1}}{v_n} = m$.

This remark shows that the properties of having bounded ratios, or having ratios converging to ∞ are not $\sim$-invariant. Actually, if one takes a sequence $\mathbf{u}$ with bounded ratios, and then a sequence $\mathbf{u}^* \sim \mathbf{u}$ as in the remark, then one will have also $\mathbf{u}^* \approx \mathbf{u}$, according to Corollary A1, while $\mathbf{u}^*$ will fail to have the property of having bounded ratios.

3.3.2 The torsion subgroup of $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$

The polishability of $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ holds for a general integer sequence, but in the case of an a-sequence we can produce a simply defined dense countable subgroup witnessing the separability of $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$. If $\mathbf{u}$ is an a-sequence, it makes sense to consider the subgroup $\mathrm{tt}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ of $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ formed by all $x \in \mathbb{T}$ with $|supp_{\mathbf{u}}(x)| < \infty$. We prove in Proposition 3.15 that $\mathrm{tt}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}) = t(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}))$ when $\mathbf{u}$ is an a-sequence and $t(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}))$ is the torsion subgroup of $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$. In other words, $supp_{\mathbf{u}}(x)$ is infinite for a torsion element x of $\mathbb{T}$ if and only if $x \notin \mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$.

Proposition 3.15. *If* $\mathbf{u}$ *is an a-sequence, then* $\mathrm{tt}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}) = t(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}))$.

Proof. The inclusion $\mathrm{tt}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq t(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}))$ is obvious. Let $x = \frac{a}{b} \in t(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})) = \mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, where a, b are coprime and $a < b$. Hence, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \geq m, \|u_n x\| < \frac{1}{b}$. In particular,

$$\left\|u_m \frac{a}{b}\right\| < \frac{1}{b} \Leftrightarrow \left\|u_m \frac{a}{b}\right\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow b | u_m a.$$

As a and b are coprime, we deduce that $u_m = lb$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence $x = \frac{a}{b} = \frac{al}{u_m}$. Hence, we have to show that elements of the form $x = \frac{k}{u_m}$ have a finite canonical representation. Obviously, we can assume that $k < u_m$. Hence, using the sequence $q_m = \frac{u_m}{u_{m-1}}, q_m q_{m-1} = \frac{u_m}{u_{m-2}}, \ldots, q_m q_{m-1} \ldots q_2 = \frac{u_m}{u_1}$ we can find integer coefficients $c_m, \ldots, c_1$ such that

$$0 \leq c_j < q_j \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq j \leq m. \tag{18}$$

and

$$k = c_m + c_{m-1}\frac{u_m}{u_{m-1}} + c_{m-2}\frac{u_m}{u_{m-2}} + \ldots + c_1 \frac{u_m}{u_1} \tag{19}$$

Dividing (19) by u_m we get

$$\frac{k}{u_m} = \frac{c_m}{u_m} + \frac{c_{m-1}}{u_{m-1}} + \frac{c_{m-2}}{u_{m-2}} + \ldots + \frac{c_1}{u_1}.$$

This provides a finite canonical representation of $x = \frac{k}{u_m}$ in view of (18). Therefore, $x \in \mathrm{tt}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$. □

Notation 3.16. If $\mathbb{P}$ denotes the set of all prime numbers, then for every $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ and $\mathbb{Z}(p^n)$ denote respectively the Prüfer p-group and the cyclic group of order p^n where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}$, let v_p be the additive p-adic valuation and

$$n_p(\mathbf{u}) := \liminf_{n \to \infty} v_p(u_n) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

In the following proposition the torsion subgroup of the torus $t(\mathbb{T}) = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is identified with $\bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$.

Proposition 3.17 ([B–W2]). *For* $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ *one has that*

$$t(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})) = \bigoplus_{p\in\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{Z}(p^{n_p(\mathbf{u})}).$$

Proof of Proposition D. We have to prove that the torsion subgroup $t(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}))$ of $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ is a dense subgroup of $(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}), \tau_\varrho)$ when $\mathbf{u}$ is an a-sequence. By Proposition 3.15, we have to prove that $\mathrm{tt}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ is a dense subgroup of $(\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}), \tau_\varrho)$.

By Theorem 3.7, $\mathrm{tt}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}) = \mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$, when $q_{\mathbf{u}} < \infty$. Hence, there is nothing to prove in this case.

Suppose that $q_{\mathbf{u}} = \infty$, hence there exists a subsequence $q_{n_k} \to \infty$. Let $x \in \mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ with canonical representation (6) and $\varepsilon > 0$. It suffices to find $x' \in \mathrm{tt}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ such that $x - x' \in B^\varrho_\varepsilon(0)$.

Let $k^* \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

(i) $\forall k \geq k^*, q_{n_k} > \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$;

(ii) $\forall n \geq n_{k^*}, \|u_n x\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

If

$$x' = \sum_{n\leq n_{k^*}} \frac{c_n}{u_n} \quad \text{and} \quad x'' = \sum_{n>n_{k^*}} \frac{c_n}{u_n},$$

then $x = x' + x''$ and $x' \in \mathrm{tt}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$. Hence it is enough to prove that $\|u_s x''\| < \varepsilon$ holds for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $s \geq n_{k^*}$, then $\|u_s x''\| = \|u_s(x - x')\| \leq \|u_s x\| + \|u_s x'\| < \varepsilon + 0$.

If $s < n_{k^*}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|u_s x''\right\| \leq u_s x'' &= \sum_{n>n_{k^*}} \frac{c_n u_s}{u_n} \\ &= \frac{1}{q_{s+1}\cdots q_{n_{k^*}}} \left(\frac{c_{n_{k^*}+1}}{q_{n_{k^*}+1}} + \cdots + \frac{c_{n_{k^*}+t}}{q_{n_{k^*}+1}\cdots q_{n_{k^*}+t}} + \cdots\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since (c_n) is a canonical representation, $\left(\frac{c_{n_{k^*}+1}}{q_{n_{k^*}+1}} + \cdots + \frac{c_{n_{k^*}+t}}{q_{n_{k^*}+1}\cdots q_{n_{k^*}+t}} + \cdots\right) < 1$ holds, according to Remark 3.5. By (i), $\frac{1}{q_{s+1}\cdots q_{n_{k^*}}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and hence $\|u_s x''\| < \varepsilon$. □

3.3.3 Proof of Theorem E

Proposition 3.18. *If* $\mathbf{u}$ *is an a-sequence with* $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}) \in \tau_{\mathbf{u}}$*, then the sequence of ratios* (q_n) *is bounded.*

Proof. Assume for a contradiction that

$$\lim_t q_{n_t} = \infty \tag{20}$$

for some subsequence $\{q_{n_t} : t \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We can assume without loss of generality that $q_{n_t} \geq 2$ for all t. We prove that $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T}) \notin \tau_{\mathbf{u}}$. To do that we prove that $B^\varrho_\varepsilon(0) \not\subseteq \mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$ for every $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. Hence we need an element $x \in B^\varrho_\varepsilon(0)$ such that $x \notin \mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$.

Let

$$x = \sum_n \frac{c_n}{u_n}; \tag{21}$$

where $c_n = 0$ if $n \neq n_t$ for every t otherwise if $n = n_t$ $c_n = \lfloor q_n\varepsilon/4 \rfloor$. Note that (21) is a canonical representation of x, as

$$c_{n_t} \leq \frac{q_{n_t}\varepsilon}{4} < \frac{q_{n_t}}{8} < q_{n_t} - 1 \tag{22}$$

for every t.

Let us prove that $x \in B^\varrho_\varepsilon(0)$. Pick $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and find a positive $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_{r-1} \leq s \leq n_r - 1$.

Hence, one has the following congruence modulo 1

$$u_s x \equiv_1 \frac{c_{s+1}}{q_{s+1}} + \frac{c_{s+2}}{q_{s+1}q_{s+2}} + \cdots + \frac{c_{n_r-1}}{q_{s+1}\cdots q_{n_r-1}} + \frac{c_{n_r}}{q_{s+1}\cdots q_{n_r}} + \cdots.$$

By construction $c_{s+1} = \cdots = c_{n_r-1} = 0$ and $c_{n_j} \leq \frac{q_{n_j}\varepsilon}{4}$ for all $j \geq r$, according to (22). Therefore, $\frac{c_{n_j}}{q_{n_j}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$, hence $\frac{c_{n_j}}{q_{s+1}\cdots q_{n_j}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n_j-s}}$. This yields

$$\|u_s x\| = \left\| \frac{c_{n_r}}{q_{s+1}\cdots q_{n_r}} + \cdots \right\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{j=r}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n_j-s}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^m} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Hence for every s one has $\|u_s x\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon$, i.e., $x \in B^o_\varepsilon(0)$.

As $\frac{q_{n_t}\varepsilon}{4} - 1 \leq c_{n_t} \leq \frac{q_{n_t}\varepsilon}{4}$, the following inequality holds

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{4} - \frac{1}{q_{n_t}} \leq \frac{c_{n_t}}{q_{n_t}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Therefore, (20) implies that $\frac{c_{n_t}}{q_{n_t}}$ converges to $\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \neq 0$. By Corollary 3.10, this yields $x \notin \mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbb{T})$. □

The above proposition fails in the case of a general sequence of integers. Indeed, if H is a countable subgroup of $\mathbb{T}$, then H is characterized by some sequence $\mathbf{u}$ that need not have bounded ratios (in case $\mathbf{u}$ has bounded ratios, we can replace $\mathbf{u}$ by some $\mathbf{u}^* \sim \mathbf{u}$ with $q_{\mathbf{u}^*} = \infty$, according to Remark 3.14).

Proof of Theorem F. The implication (a)⇒(b) follows by Theorem 3.7; while (b)⇒(c)⇒(d) are obvious.

(d)⇒(e) follows by Theorem A,

(e)⇒(f) follows from the fact that $\tau^*_{\mathbf{u}} \subseteq \tau_{\mathbf{u}}$;

(f)⇒(a) follows from Proposition 3.18. □

References

[AN] J. Aaronson and M. Nadkarni, *L_∞ eigenvalues and L_2 spectra of no-singular transformations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **55** (3) (1987) pp. 538–570.

[Arb] J. Arbault, *Sur L'ensemble de convergence absolue d'une serie trigonometrique*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **804** (1952) pp. 253-317.

[Arm] D. Armacost, *The structure of locally compact abelian groups*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **68**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, (1981).

[B–W1] G. Barbieri, D. Dikranjan, C. Milan, H. Weber, *Answer to Raczkowki's questions on convergent sequences of integers*, Topology Appl. **132** (2003) pp. 89-101.

[B–W2] G. Barbieri, D. Dikranjan, C. Milan, H. Weber, *Topological torsion related to some recursive sequences of integer*, Math. Nachrichten **281** (7) (2008) pp. 930-950.

[BDS] A. Bíró, J. -M. Deshouillers and V.T. Sós, *Good approximation and characterization of subgroups of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **38** (2001) pp. 97–113.

[Bi] A. Biró, *Characterizations of groups generated by Kronecker sets*, Journal de théorie des nombres de Bordeaux **19** (3) (2007) pp. 567–582.

[BKR] L. Bukovský, N. N. Kholshevikova, M. Repický, *Thin sets in harmonic analysis and infinite combinatorics*, Real Anal. Exchange **20** (1994/1995) pp. 454–509.

[Bo1] J. P. Borel, *Sous-groupes de $\mathbb{R}$ liés à répartition modulo* 1 *de suites* Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. **5** (5 no. 3-4) (1983) pp. 217–235.

[Bo2] J.-P. Borel, *Sur certains sous-groupes de $\mathbb{R}$ liés á la suite des factorielles*, Colloq. Math. **62** (1) (1991) pp. 21–30.

[BSW] M. Beiglböck, C. Steineder, and R. Winkler, *Sequences and filters of characters characterizing subgroups of compact abelian groups*, Topology Appl. **153** (11) (2006) pp. 1682–1695.

[CTW] W. Comfort, F. J. Trigos-Arrieta and T. S. Wu, *The Bohr compactification, modulo a metrizable subgroup*, Fundamenta Math. **143** (1993), pp. 119–136, Correction: **152** (1997), pp. 97–98.

[DdS] D. Dikranjan and R. Di Santo, *Answer to Armacost's quest on topologically torsion elements of the circle group*, Comm. Algebra **32** (2004) pp. 133–146.

[DG] D. Dikranjan and S. S. Gabriyelyan, *On characterized subgroups of compact abelian groups*, Topology Appl., **160** (18) (2013) pp. 2427–2442.

[DGT] D. Dikranjan, S. S. Gabriyelyan and V. Tarieladze, *Characterizing sequences for precompact group topologies*, J. Math. Analysis and Applications, **412** (1) (2014) pp. 505–519.

[D] D. Dikranjan, *Closure operators in topological groups related to von Neumann's kernel*, Topology Appl. **153** (2006) pp. 1930–1955.

[DI1] D. Dikranjan and D. Impieri, *Topologically torsion elements of the circle group*, Comm. Algebra **42** (2014) pp. 600–614.

[DI2] D. Dikranjan and D. Impieri, *Question on the Borel compleixty of characterized subgroup of the compact abelian groups*, Questions and Answers in General Topology 32 (2014) 127–144.

[DI3] D. Dikranjan and D. Impieri, *On Hewitt groups and finer locally compact group topologies*, Topology Appl., to appear.

[DK] D. Dikranjan and K. Kunen, *Characterizing countable subgroups of compact abelian groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **208** (2007) pp. 285–291.

[DMT] D. Dikranjan, C. Milan and A. Tonolo, *A characterization of the maximally almost periodic abelian groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **197** (1–3) (2005) 23–41.

[DPS] D. Dikranjan, Iv. Prodanov and L. Stoyanov, *Topological Groups: Characters, Dualities and Minimal Group Topologies*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. **130**, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York-Basel, (1989).

[Egg] H. G. Eggleston, *Sets of fractional dimensions which occur in some problems of number theory*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **54** (2) (1952) pp. 42–93.

[FS] I. Farah, S. Solecki, *Borel subgroups of Polish groups*, Adv. Math. **199** (2) (2006) pp. 499–541.

[G1] S. S. Gabriyelyan, *Group of quasi-invariance and the Pontryagin duality*, Topology Appl. **157** (2010) pp. 2786–2802.

[G2] S. S. Gabriyelyan, *On T-sequences and characterized subgroups*, Topology Appl. **157** (2010) pp. 2834–2843.

[G3] S.S. Gabriyelyan, *Characterizable groups: some results and open questions*, Topology Appl. **159** (2012) pp. 2378–2391.

[G4] S. S. Gabriyelyan, *On T-characterized subgroups of compact Abelian groups*, submitted.

[Hj] G. Hjorth, *Subgroups of abelian Polish groups*, Set theory, Trends Math., Birkhuser, Basel, (2006) pp. 297–308.

[HMP] B. Host, J.-F. Mela and F. Parreau, *Non singular transformations and spectral analysis of measures*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **119** (1991) pp. 33-90.

[KL] A.S. Kechris and Louveau , *The classification of the structure of hypersmooth Borel equivalence relations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1997) pp. 215–242.

[L] G. Larcher, *A convergence problem connected with continued fractions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc **103**(3) (1988) pp. 718–722.

[N] G. Negro, *Polish LCA groups are strongly characterizable*, Topology Appl. **162** (2014) 66–75.

[P] O. Perron, *Irrationalzahlen*, Chelsea, New York, (1960).

[S] S. Solecki, *Polish group topologies*, in Sets and Proofs, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series **258**, Cambridge University Press (1999), pp.339–364